Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/508,339	TESHIMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David K. O'Dell	1625	

All Participants:

(1) *David K. O'Dell*.

(2) *William R. Schmidt*.

Status of Application: *pending*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *11 January 2009*

Time: *10:00 A.M.*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
10

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The examiner called the applicant's representative, Mr. Schmidt, to discuss the lack of a defintion of variable X in claim 10, which had been previously overlooked. A suggestion for the correction was made by the examiner, and Mr. Schmidt agreed to submit corrected claims.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/David K. O'Dell/
Examiner, Art Unit 1625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)